



**ILCHF Scoring Rubric**  
**YOUTH WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT 2.0**

- 1) **Name of the Organization:**
- 2) **Project Title:**
- 3) **Total Project Budget:**
- 4) **Total Project Request (not to exceed \$20,000):**
- 5) **Focus Area:**
  - Oral Health
  - Mental Health
  - Both
- 6) **Reviewer:**

Rubric Scoring Definitions	Total Points	Poor (1)	Average (3)	Excellent (5)
<b>Organization Background</b> How well did the proposal provide detailed information regarding the organization and the project?	5 pts	The project proposal does not align with the applicant organization’s mission or history of work. The description is insufficient to provide a general understanding of the organization.	The project proposal modestly aligns with the applicant organization’s mission or history of work. The description contains adequate information to provide a general understanding of the organization.	The project proposal clearly aligns with the applicant organization’s mission or history of work. The description contains sufficient information to provide a clear understanding of the organization.
<b>Project Narrative</b> <i>-Strategic Thinking / Planning Approach</i> <i>-Impact</i> <i>-Sustainability</i>	15 pts	Proposal has poorly-defined goals and/or does not include realistic or effective strategies to sustainably engage youth.	Proposal has somewhat well-defined goals, and/or includes somewhat realistic or effective strategies to sustainably engage youth.	Proposal has very well-defined goals and/or includes realistic or highly effective strategies to sustainably engage youth.
<b>Budget</b> Effective and efficient provision of services is demonstrated in relation to the budget allocation. The allocation is reasonable and appropriate	5 pts	The budget is missing information or does not align with permitted expenses.	A budget was submitted but did not give a clear understanding of how funds will be used to advance project goals.	The budget form was completed in its entirety. The budget is realistic considering project scope and stated goals.
<b>Total Possible Points:</b>	___/25			

7) Scoring Rubric:

Scoring Rubric (Maximum 25 pts)	Poor (1)	Below Average (2)	Average (3)	Above Average (4)	Excellent (5)
<b>Organizational Background</b> The description provides a clear understanding about this organization. The request aligns with the applicant organization's mission.					
<b>Strategic Thinking / Planning Approach</b> The application indicates how the grant funding will be used to support youth workforce development					
<b>Impact</b> The proposal indicates that a robust number of youth will be served as a result of the project					
<b>Sustainability</b> The proposal demonstrates the ability to sustain programming past the grant period					
<b>Budget</b> The budget reflects a reasonable balance between cost and expected outcomes					
<b>Project Narrative Score:</b>	__/25				

8) Strengths:

**9) Weaknesses:**

**10) Questions for Discussion:**

**11) Is the Applicant a New Grantee?:**

- Yes
- No

**12) Region of the State (see attached map on next page):**

- Northern
- Southwest Central

**13) Recommendation for Funding:**

- Yes
- No
- Other, please describe below

# Illinois Children's Healthcare Foundation Youth Workforce Development 2.0 Funding Regions

